

MEMORANDUM

To: Mayor and City Council

From: Gregory I. Guernsey, AICP, Director

Planning and Zoning Department

Subject: CodeNEXT Recommendation Report

Date: May 29, 2018

Please find the attached a copy of the Planning Commission's CodeNEXT Recommendation Report reflecting their final actions taken on Friday, May 25 2018. Staff will update this report with additional information from the meeting minutes and video recordings once it becomes available.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 512-974-2387 or via email at greg.guernsey@austintintexas.gov or Mr. Jorge Rousselin, the CodeNEXT Project Manager at 512-974-2975 or via email at Jorge.rousselin@austintexas.gov.

Att: Recommendation Report and attachments.

cc: Spencer Cronk, City Manager

Joe Pantalion, Interim Assistant City Manager Jerry Rusthoven, PAZ Assistant Director

							aene.	XI K	ecomi	nena	atio	n Kep	ort to City	y Councii					
					Vote Tallie	S			Vote by Con	nmissioner									
			Motion	Passed/ Failed	Ayes Noe	s Abstains	ANDERSON	KAZI KENNY	MCGRAW NUCKOLS	SCHISSLER	SHIEH	WHITE	General or Specific		Annotated PC Motion Page No.	Related Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Original Planning Related Planning Commission Commission Motion Motions
1	Original Matthe		General Policy Guidelines 1. Establish triage points after the Council adopts the codes such as quarterly check-ins as problems are found with code language. Problems first are revisited by Planning Commission and then Council. 2. Complete rework of the Plan to Plan including transitions, centers, TODs, and Neighborhood Plans. Following the adoption of CodeNEXT, Land Use Commission revisit the Imagine Austin Centers and Corridors. 3. Process to phase out F25 with stakeholder input regarding items such as Conditional Overlays, TODs, etc. Process to be revisited by Planning Commision and then Council. 4. Prior to the Code being enacted, test and model the code in a wide-range of development scenarios with stakeholder participation, and testing of the financial impacts of the Code, including additional staffing needs, development fee increases, Density Bonus Program resources, and a quantified effect of working in two codes. Staff and consultants to prepare a Report Card of the Planning Commission mappin recommendations. After the Code has been implemented, additional testing to help inform the triage process and measure if the added density is delivering, the anticipated affordable units. 5. Entire Code needs to be reviewed by a Master Editor prior to adoption 6. Planning Commission Recommendation is the starting point for Council Review. 7. Land Use Commission's recommendation is shown to Council by each Division. Prior to the Code adoption, Staff to show Council what major elements of Title 25 are not being included in CodeNEXT. 8. Performance mechanisms be identified by PC and staff to show the success and failures of the Code, particularly as it relates to Affordable Housing, displacement, demolition, review times/ permitting, and Imagine Austin Performance Indicators. 9. Staff and Council explore methods to capture the added value of the added density along corridors to help finance transit projects along corridors.							bsent	bsent		Coneral						N/A
2	Original Moti		Staff to continue to review items and exhibits in all chapters presented in the May 25th Planning Commission CodeNEXT Draft 3 Deliberation Spreadsheet by individual commissioners that were unacted on, and to identify ways to continue to improve Draft 3 for Council's Deliberation. Planning Commission CodeNEXT Draft 3 Deliberation Spreadsheet shall also be given to Council.	Passed	9	2 0				absent al	absent al		General						N/A
3	Original Moti		Where there is conflict between amendments made by the Planning Commission, Staff works to rectify those conflicts utilizing voting data and other related motion to help prioritize the final recommended action, and present them to Council for their action.	Passed	10	0 1				absent	absent		General						N/A
4	Original Moti	ion	Reduce length of non 23-4 Sections by 20%. Identify a Master Editor who should identify measures in Nor 23-4 chapters to reduce extreme length to assist in achieving CodeNEXT goal for code simplicity.	Passed	12	0 0			absent										
	Original Moti		Reduce by 30% instead of 20%	Passed	12	0 0						$\perp \perp$	General						N/A
5	Original Motion	ion	Recommend approval of Chapter 23-1 with amendments previously approved and the following additional changes: 1. Where Chapter 23-1 conflicts with current policy related to the Neighborhood Planning Contact Team, corrections to those discrepancies are made.	Passed	9 :	2 0							General						N/A
	Original Moti		Add language to 23-1A-6010 and 2301A-6020 regarding Minimum Development Potential as shown in Steven Oliver Exhibit 1	Passed	7	5 1													
6	Amendment Original Moti Amendment	to ion	Add language that leaves this to the discretion of the director	Failed	4	8 1							1	23-1A-6010 & 23-1A-		Oliver Exhibit 1 - Minimum			
<u> </u>	Original Moti		Exclude Heritage Trees	Passed	10	2 1			++			++	Specific	6020		Development			A-1.7.1
7	Original Moti		Recommend approval of Chapter 23-2 with amendments previously approved Sections 23-2A-3030(B)(2) and 23-2A-3040(B)(2)	Passed	9 2	2 0				++			General						N/A
8	Original Moti		Direct Staff to look at on-site alternatives that could be applied without triggering an engineer's letter and these should be directly proportional to the size of the expansion or construction such as the following alternative language: (2) Provide an affidavit from both owner and applicant, agreeing to preserve or improve existing drainage patterns and to provide an engineered grading plan and complete the work specified therein if it is determined by the Building Official that there has been an adverse impact to adjoining lots attributable to an as-built condition within one year from the date of the certificate of occupancy, if the construction, remodel or expansion is: (A) more than 300 square feet; and (B) Located on an unplatted tract or within a residential subdivision approved more than five years before		8 :	1 2				absent	absent		Specific	23-2A-3030(B)(2) 23-2A-3040(B)(2)		Sheih Exhibit 1 - Engineer's Letter			3.7
9	Original Moti		Where an existing single-family home has been made non-conforming by the new code, that home can be renovated or rebuilt under today's standards. Staff to adjust language to not penalize existing homes that do not conform to the new zoning.		11 (0 0			absent	absent			Specific	23-2G-1060-D-1					9.3 A-9.16.1
10	Original Moti	ion	Recommend approval of Chapter 23-3A, 3B, 3C, and 3D with amendments previously approved	Passed	8 :	2 1							General						N/A

5/29/2018

									<u> </u>	ch	ort to Cit	y Council					
			Vote Tallies	3			Vote by Con	nmissioner									
					z				z								
					RSOI		OLS	SLER	IPSO	ш .							Original Planning Related Planning
	Martin		A No	A la -4-1	NDE	AZI	UCK	CHIS	SHIEН ТНОМР	H A	General or Specific	Castina Namban	Annotated PC	Dalasad Cabibis	Daniel Tanie	Chaff Dannaga	Commission Commission
	Recommend approvarior chapter 25-52 (Antordable housing bonds Program), but with direction for stan to	ssed/ Failed	Ayes Noes	Abstains	₹ I	3 2	2 Z C	S 8 8	호 는	≯ 5	Specific	Section Number	Motion Page No.		Broad Topic	Staff Response	Motion Motions
	develop revisions that will address the following concerns:													White Exhibit 1 Pages 20-25			
	Establish as additional items of intent for the program to:													(Edits to the			
	a. meet the annual affordable housing goals set forth by city council;													SMART program)			
	b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and													and White Exhibit			
	c.maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu. 2. Reinstate expedited review for SMART Housing and expand it to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program													1 Pages 45-48 (SIMPLICITY &			
11	at all stages for projects that participate in the program per the original requirements of 2000.													HOUSING			
	3. Explore a Super Density Bonus for large-scale affordable projects that offer over 50% of units as													BLUEPRINT			
	affordable 4. Establish a Density Bonus pilot program with a revision and review window of 18-months with an annual													GOALS)			
	re-evaluation period to ensure the program is properly calibrated, and staff and consultants to continue to													Kenny Exhibit 3 -			
	hold workshops with stakeholders, including affordable housing advocates, builders, affordable housing						ŧ	님						Affordable			
Original Motion	builders, construction companies, developers, and community advocates to continue to work out the bonus program.	ssed	10 0	1			apse	apse			General			Housing Bonus Program			
5							± =	Ę									
12 Original Motion	Upon Council's review of Section 23-3E, Council consider sending that division back to the Planning Commission for additional feedback Pas	ssed	م ا	۸			bser	bser			General			None			N/A
13 Original Motion		ssed	7 2	2			в	on .			General						N/A
	Strike "that are intended to promote compatible land patterns-" and add "that address the social and																
14 Original Motion Substitute Motion	environmental values described in 23-1A-1020." Reference back to the Comprehensive Plan (23-1A-1020) as recommended by staff Pas	ssed	 12 1	-		++++		1 1 1		 	Specific	23-4A-1010			·	-	20.2 -
15 Original Motion		iled	5 8	0		 	++	1 1		++	Specific	23-4A-1010 23-4A-2020(H)					20.4 -
	Eliminate the Downtown Plan overlay until Small area plan can be completed with funding assistance											, ,					
Original Motion	provided by DAA.		- -	-				1		 	#				, ,		
	Increase the base entitlements in DC per DAA recommendation, including: - Increase driveway width maximum to 30' to allow for 3 lanes of traffic flow																
	- Frontage Requirements: Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross																
	frontage requirement or change requirement to "net" frontage or only require one block face of the site to																
16	comply. Or remove requirement in DC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which uses are appropriate. And reduce requirements for many building support spaces (AE																
	vault, fire pump, etc.) that must be located directly on ROW. The definition of active commercial uses																
	(Commercial Group A in the Downtown Plan Overlay Zone) needs to be clarified or refined to allow for																
	ground level office or multi-family lobbies. Additionally, revise the requirement that prohibits stairs/ramps in required setbacks to allow them in required setbacks.																
	- (intent) Recalibrate the Downtown Density Program to maximize the yield of affordable housing units in																
	a way that does not impede taking up of the bonus, particularly related to small lots																
Substitute Motion	- FAR and height for the PID area, not including Judge's Hill, be increased to unlimited for the Density Bonus Program Pas	ssed	12 0	1							Specific	23-4D-6080					23.205 20.5 23.225
17 Original Motion	Change DC zone FARmax to 12:1.		7 6	0							Specific	23-4D-6080					23.223
	1020 Conditional Use Permit (F)(2) Late Hours Permit																
	(a) If the Land Use Commission approves a conditional use permit for bar, nightclub, or restaurant with a late-hours permit or with outdoor seating, the having a parking area associated with the use must be a	- 1															
18	minimum of less than 200 feet from a Residential House-Scale Zone Is required to obtain approval of a																
	conditional use permit. , unless the use is located within an enclosed shopping center. (b) The Land Use																
Original Motion	Commission may waive the 200-foot restriction if it finds that the effects of a parking area are sufficiently mitigated based on the criteria in Subsection (E).		I . İ.	_								23-4B-1020(F)(2)					
Substitute Motion		ssed	12 0	1							Specific	23-4B-1020(F)(2) 23-4E-6: Specific to Use			1 1	1	21.4
	WHITE_Exhibit_Conditional Use Permits:																
Original Mation	Please amend Draft 3 to reinstate the clear Conditional Use Permit standards and other key provisions in LDC 25-5-142 through 25-5-150.	/ided															
Original Motion Divided Original	LDC 23-3-142 (IIIOUgii 23-3-130.	vided	 								#				1 1		
Motion 1	Reinstate LDC 25-5-148 to ensure compliance with conditions imposed by Council or Commissions Fail	iled	4 8	1													
Divided Original	Reinstate existing CUP requirement for late-hours bars and restaurants, including current code's 200'	als does]]				-							
Motion 2 Divided Original	parking buffer in proximity to House-Scale Residential Zones. Wit	thdrawn	 	-				-			#				- H		
Motion 3	Reinstate LDC 25-5-150 to prevent revolving door for same CUP requests Pas:	ssed	7 6	0													
Divided Original] [
Motion 4	Reinstate LDC 25-5-145(C)(4) to ensure Large Retail Uses do not adversely affect future redevelopment Pas	ssed	12 1	0							-				ļ ļ		
	Reinstate all current requirements in LDC 25-5-145, Evaluation of Conditional Use Site Plan																
	(a) Draft 3 deletes the current mandate to determine compliance with specific requirements																
Divided Original	(b) Draft 3 deletes at least seven specific standards that CUPs must meet under current code (c) Draft 3 replaces specific requirements with three broad concepts and provides criteria only for Tab	bled and Never															
Motion 5		ken Up															
Divided Original															l l		
Motion 6 Divided Original	Reinstate LDC 25-5-143(C) to ensure advisory board input on CUPs in Waterfront Overlay Pas	ssed	8 5	0							#			White Exhibit - Conditional Use	, ,		
Motion 6 -														Permits (Pages			
RECONSIDERED		ssed	13 0	0							General			15-19)			21.5
	Section 23-4B-1030 (1) Notice of Application. The director shall provide notice of an application for a minor use permit under																
20	Section 23-2C-5010 (Notice of Application) and allow parties to submit comments on the application for a period of at least 44-30 days.		[]								I						
Original Motion		ilod	■ 3I 10								■ISpecific	23-4B-1030	1				21.6

5/29/2018

						uo:11_	7 1 1			atioi	ii ixel	oort to Cit	y ocurren					
				Vote Tallies		DERSON	II A	MCGRAW NUCKOLS	ER	EH	TE ITE	General or		Annotated PC				Original Planning Related Planning Commission Commission
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Ayes Noes	Abstains	ANE	KAZ	MC MC	SEE SCH	SHIEH	N N	General or Specific	Section Number	Motion Page No. R	telated Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Motion Motions
21	0 14. 5	Section 23-4B-2040 (C) Permitting Decisions. Except as provided in Subsection (A), a decision by the Development Services Director or another responsible director to approve or disapprove a development application because of non-compliance with the zening code may be appealed to the Board of Adjustment under Article 23-21				Ш		bsent					20.40.0040					
22	Original Motion Original Motion	(Appeals). Change the word "Applicant" to "Owner" in Section 23-4B-3040	Passed Passed	12 0	1 1			₹				Specific Specific	23-4B-2040 23-4B-3040					21.11
	Original Motion	Change the word "standards" to "regulations" in Section 23-4B-4010(A) and (B)	Passed	13 0	0							Specific	23-4B-4010(A) and (B)					21.16
	Original Motion	Change the word "standards" to "regulations" in Section 23-4B-4020(B)(1)(c)(iii)	Passed	13 0	0							Specific	23-4B-4020(B)(1)(c)(iii)					21.17
25	Original Motion	Change the word "may" to "shall" in Section 23-4B-4030(C)	Passed	13 0	0							Specific	23-4B-4030(C) 23-4C-1010(B)(1) and	+			-	21.18
26	Original Motion Original Motion	In Section 23-4C-1010(B)(1) and (2), add "and that have a zone that requires it.", and strike "four acres" and replace with "eight acres." In 23-4C-1040(B)(3), replace "eight acres" with "twelve acres" Delete 1020(M)(2)	Passed	7 6	0							Specific	(2) 23-4C-1040(B)(3)					22.5
28	Substitute Motion	Instead of completely deleting 1020(M)(2), move this standard to the zone districts where the Code lists parking maximums, and if the applicant wishes to exceed the parking maximum of the zoning district then the site must incorporate at least three of the items listed in Table 23-4C-1020(A).	Passed	9 4	0							Specific						22.6
29	Schilar Mulius	Develop Carting 20 40 4000 Carriers Care Carrier	Parad									Gazaiti .	00.40.4000					22.7 22.8 22.9 22.10 22.12 22.13 22.14 22.15 22.16
	Original Motion	Remove Section 23-4C-1030 Common Open Space	Passed	7 6	0						++-	Specific	23-4C-1030					22.11 22.29
30	Original Motion	Replace language in Section 23-4C-1040(B)(3) with: An application for a site plan or subdivision is not required to provide Civic open space when the site is: i) less than two acres, ii) located within one-quarter mile of a safe pedestrian travel distance of an existing and developed dedicated parkland that is at least one acre, measured from the boundary of the site to the nearest public entrance of the park, and iii) not located in a Park Deficient Area as determined by the Parks and Recreation Department.	Failed	1 12	0													
	Substitute Motion	Replace language in Section 23-4C-1040(B)(3) with: An application for a site plan or subdivision is not required to provide Civic open space when the site is: i) less than four acres, ii) located within one-quarter mile of a safe pedestrian travel distance of an existing and developed dedicated parkland that is at least one acre, measured from the boundary of the site to the nearest public entrance of the park, and	Failed	4 8	1							Specific	23-4C-1040(B)(3)					22.17 22.21
31		Replace language in Section 23-4C-1040(B)(4) with: An applicant shall locate each residential lot within: (a) one-quarter mile of a safe pedestrian travel distance from existing or proposed civic open space if the development is located within the urban core; and (b) a half mile of a safe pedestrian travel distance from existing or proposed civic open space if the development is located outside of the urban core																
	Original Motion Substitute Motion	Add a definition of "safe pedestrian travel" Strike Section 23-4C-1040(B)(4)	Withdrawn	11 0	- 2					T		Specific	23-4C-1040(B)(4)		-	1	1	22.18
32	Original Motion	Strike Section 23-4C-1040 and all of Section 23-4C-2	Failed	5 8	0							Specific	23-4C-1040 and all of 23-4C-2					22.20
33	Original Motion	Revise the purpose statement in Section 23-4C-2010 to: This division sets the requirements for a wide range of civic open space types that are appropriate for the City. Civic Open Space aligns with Imagine Austin Priority "Use green infrastructure to protect environmentally sensitive areas and integrate nature into the city" and will ensure adequate open spaces are incorporated into comprehensive plan developments creating complete communities.	Failed	3 10	0							Specific	23-4C-2010					22.26
34	Original Motion	Strike Section 23-4C-2050(D)	Passed	7 6	0							Specific	23-4C-2050(D)					22.26
35	Original Motion	Strike Section 23-4C-2050(E) Where appropriate for the nature of the Civic Open Space, the design shall make shade an integral	-	- -	-							4						
	Substitute Motion	feature for people utilizing the civic space.	Passed	8 4	1							Specific	23-4C-2050(E)					22.33
	Original Motion	100% reduction in parking for properties located within a TOD	Passed	9 3	0			Absent										
36	Amendment to Original Motion	Add the following language from current code on CBD/DMU Parking: Except for a use occupying a designated historic landmark or an existing building in a designated historic district, off-street motor vehicle parking for persons with disabilities must be provided for a use that occupies 6,000 square feet or more of floor space under the requirements of this paragraph. (a) The following requirements apply if no parking is provided for a use, other than parking for persons with disabilities: (i) the minimum number of accessible parking spaces is calculated by taking 20 percent of the parking required for the use under Appendix A (Tables of Off -Street Parking and Loading Requirements) and using that result to determine the number of accessible spaces required under the Building Code. The accessible spaces may be provided on - or off-site, within 250 feet of the use. (ii) The director may waive or reduce the number of accessible spaces required under Paragraph (2)(a)(i) if the applicant pays a fee in -lieu to be used by the city to construct and maintain accessible parking in the vicinity of the use.	Passed	10 1	11							Specific						22.34
37	Original Motion	100% reduction of parking for properties located within UNO	Passed	7 4	1			Absent				Specific	23-4D-9130					22.34
38		List "Live Music Venue" as a separate use that is permitted in all the same use tables with the same permission standards as "Performance Venue/ Theater," but without the requirements for alcohol sales.	Dassed	12														23.1
	Original Motion Original Motion	Define in Definitions Whatever the compatibility trigger is, stepbacks and setbacks both start at the triggering property's lot line (regardless of an alley)	Divided		-							General						23.2
40	Divided Original Motion 1	Whatever the compatibility trigger is, stepbacks start at the triggering property's lot line	Passed	13 0														23.20 23.145
	Divided Original	Whatever the compatibility trigger is, setbacks start at the triggering property's lot line (regardless of an		13 0								Carrent			ŀ	1	1	23.170
<u> </u>	Motion 2	alley)	Passed	13 0	0			1 1				General	1	1				23.2 23.193

5/29/2018

						Coc	zene.	XI F	keco	mm	ena	atior	1 кер	ort to C	ity Council						
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Ayes	Vote Tallies Noes A	bstains	ANDERSON	KAZI	MCGRAW apply	NUCKOLS OLIVER	SCHISSLER SEEGER	SHIEH	WHITE	General or Specific	Section Number	Annotated PC Motion Page No.	Related Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Original Planning Commission Motion	Related Planning Commission Motions
	Original Mation		Not Acted On														Shaw Exhibit - Part 1 (Page 7				
	Original Motion	See Shaw Exhibit 1 - Part 1 (Pages 7 & 9) for replacement compatibility standards Alter the Working Group Proposal shown on Page 9 of Shaw Exhibit 1 - Part 1 with the following	Not Acted On	-		_	' '	-	1	1 1	-	1 1		Ħ			and 9)	Ħ		H	
		changes: Between 25-50 feet from the triggering lot line: 32 foot height limit At 150 feet from the triggering lot line: 85 foot height limit Full height at 300 feet					Ш														
	Substitute Motion 1 Divided Substitute	Compatibility is triggered by distance, not adjacency	Divided	-								<u> </u>							L .		
	Motion 1	Reimplement all compatibility from Title 25, but there must be two or more residential uses within the necessary distances to trigger compatibility	Failed	4	9	0															
	Divided Substitute Motion 2	Compatibility will be triggered solely by distances determined from the triggering lot line. Use and any other trigger from Title 25 will no longer apply.	Failed	5	8	0															
	Divided Substitute Motion 3	For zones that require a compatibility stepback, the Compatibility Height Stebacks exemptions shall be modified as follows: (a) Building height stepbacks are required where a portion of a building is located: (i) across an alley less, than 20 feet in width, from a property zoned Residential House-Scale; (ii) across a right-of-way less than 60 80 feet in width from a property zoned Residential House-Scale; or (iii) adjacent to a property zoned Residential House-Scale.	Failed	6	6	0	ı	Absent													
	Divided Substitute	Between 25-50 feet from the triggering lot line: 32 foot height limit At 150 feet from the triggering lot line: 85 foot height limit																Ī			
41	Motion 4	Full height at 300 feet	Failed	5	8	0								General				Compatibility		A-23.211.1	
	Substitute Motion 2	Intent to staff: From the front of a single-family home, you cannot see anything taller in the background; the McMansion tent sets the angle for all compatibility (approximately a 45 degree angle from a 6 foot high point on the back of the property line, but the motion is intent only).	Failed	5	7	1											Oliver Exhibit 2 -				
	Substitute Motion 3	Chair Oliver's Exhibit 2 - Compatibility	Failed Failed	5	N/A N/A	0											Compatibility		L .		
	Substitute Motion 5	Keep D3 Compatibility Standards with those changes already voted on Alter the Working Group Proposal shown on Page 9 of Shaw Exhibit 1 - Part 1 with the following changes: Between 25-50 feet from the triggering lot line: 32 foot height limit	railed		,	U												ı		1	
	(Original Motion + Substitute Motion 1)	At 150 feet from the triggering lot line: 85 foot height limit Full height at 300 feet	Not Acted On	l.																	
	Amendment 1 to Substitute Motion 5		Failed	6	6													i	1	1	
	Amendment 2 to Substitute Motion 5	Density Bonus is not subject to compatibility after 50 feet from the triggering lot line Density Bonus is not subject to compatibility after 100 feet from the triggering lot line	Failed	-	0													Ħ		1	
	Amendment 3 to	Between 25-50 feet from the triggering lot line: 35 foot height limit 50-100 feet: 45 foot height limit 100-150 feet: 65 foot height limit 150-225 feet: 75 foot height limit 225-300 feet: 90 foot height limit Full height at 300 feet Affordable bonuses are exempt at 100 feet	Passed	8	3	2															
	Original Motion	Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in R1, R2B-E, R3B-C, R4C, RR and MH; Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in zones R4A-C, RM1A-B; Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in MH, MS1A, MU3B, MU4	Motion Divided																		
	Divided Original Motion 1	Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in MH, MS1A, MU3B, MU5	Passed	13	0	n															
42	Divided Original Motion 2	Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in R1, R2B-E, R3B-C, R4C, RR and MH; Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in Zones R4A-C, RM1A-B	Motion Divided	10		Ť			П					i				ī	1	1	
	Divided Motion 2: A	Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in R3B-C, R4C,R4A-C, RM1A-B;	Passed	7	3	2	, ,			+++								H .	H		
	Divided Motion 2: B	Change Cooperative Housing to Permitted in R1 and R2B-E	Tabled - Never Acted On		_															П	
	Substitute Motion	Adopt staff recommendation for Co-Housing	Tabled - Never Acted On				<u> </u>			111				Conoral				Coop	T .	23.3	22.6
-		Change Daycares that have less than 20 children to Permitted in all R zones.	, toted OII		-	\dashv			++	+ + +	-			General				Соор		23.3	23.6
43	Original Motion	Change Commercial Daycares to MUP in R2B and above, and to CUP below R2B. Daycares with less than 7 children permitted in R zones, Daycares with 7-20 children require a MUP in all R zones, Daycares with 7-20 children permitted in all RM zones; Commercial Daycares require a CUP in R zones; Commercial Daycares in RM zones stay the same as	-								1										
-	Substitute Motion	D3. Update each district to max height of "35 feet from top of slab to top of roof" and "slab height is limited to	Passed	7	6	0								General						23.4	
44	Original Motion	opulate each distinct to make reight of 3 elect horn top or start to the product and start reight is limited to a maximum of 5' above finished grade and a maximum of 12" above highest finished grade." Staff will continue to work to clarify and correct the height with the intent stated	Passed	40		_								General						20.0	23.68 23.75
45	Original Motion			13	0	0															23.73 23.84 23.92
46	Original Motion	Delete Frontyard Impervious Cover Regulation in all R Zones	Passed	13	0	0								General			White Exhibit 1 -		1		23.40
-	Original Motion	Allow pools and fountains in required yards without new setback or restrictions as currently allowed.	Passed	13	0	0								General			Page 40 of 48			23.11	23.108
47	Original Motion	Remove articulation from all R zones Add a maximum FAR of 0.3 or 1800 sf to all R zones;	Passed	13	0	0								General						23.12	23.109
48	Original Motion Amendment to	Add a maximum FAR of 0.3 or 1150 sf for single-family attached (the intent is to reduce the available FAR to single-family by 25%)	Passed	12	1	0															
	Original Motion	Intent is to reduce by 0.1 FAR under future motions	Passed	12	1	0								General						23.18	23.36
49		In 23-4E-6170(C), change the following: "A duplex must comply with the requirements in this subsection. (1) The two units must be attached or no greater than 12 feet apart; and (2) At least one of the two units must have a front entry that faces the front thoroughfare except each unit located on a corner lot must each have a front entry that faces a separate thoroughfare." In 23-13A-2, change the following: "DUPLEX. Two dwelling units on a single lot that are either attached or separated by no more than 12 feet A residential building containing two attached dwelling units on a													23-4E-6170(C)						
<u> </u>	Original Motion	single lot."	Passed	7	5	1								Specific	23-13A-2	1	1			23.21	1

5/29/2018

						ooa	CITE		COIII	IIICII	uatic	JII IXE	port to c	ity Council					
				Vote 1	allies	1	RSON	, -	Vote by Cor	S LER	er	4PSON E							Original Planning Related Planning
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Ayes	Noes Abs	tains	IART	AZI	ACGF	CHIS	HEH	HON THAN	General or Specific	Section Number	Annotated PC Motion Page No.	Palatad Evhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Commission Commission Motion Motions
50	Original Motion	Increase the base heights and bonus heights for Mixed Use and Main Street zones per Kenny's Exhibit 1 Page 3 and 4 of 29	- Failed	6	7	0	4 1	<u> </u>	2 2 0	O S S	S S	F >	General	Section Number	Wotton rage No.	Kenny Exhibit 1 - Page 3 and 4 of 29	Бгоац торк	Starrivesponse	23.24
51	Original Motion	Require a CUP for all Bars/ Nightclubs (Level 2 only) within 200 feet of a Residential zone rather than permitting by-right. Beyone 200 feet remains permitted by-right. Add specific language in Specific to Use section for Bars and Nightclubs	Passed	8	3	2													
	Amendment to Original Motion	Allow any non-permitted alcohol uses in Draft 3 (Level 1 or Level 2) as a CUP within the MS zones, except MS1A and MS2A	Passed	11	0	2							General						23.28 23.274
52	Original Motion	Amend Section 23-4B-1030 Minor Use Permits to allow an appeal to City Council if Planning Commission does not approve by 2/3 For Residential Zones that allow an ADU Preservation Incentive, change the name to ADU "Streetscale"		4	9	0							Specific	23-4B-1030					23.20 23.274
53	Original Motion	Incentive, "and change the word "preserved" to "conserved." Add the definition of the word "conserved" to the definitions section	Passed	11	2	0							General						23.33
54	Original Motion	Apply the Street Scale Incentive (formerly the Preservation Incentive) to all Residential zones	Passed	12	1	0							General						23.77 A-23.33.1 57.3
	Original Motion	Reduce the number of uses to single family, two family, and multi-family Create a comparable Residential zone that maintains the 5,750 minimum lot size and a minimum 50 foot lot width	Divided	_	_														
	Divided Odeles	Reduce the number of uses to single family, two family, and multi-family	-		-		, ,	1 1											
	Divided Original Motion 1	Divided Original Motion with Amendments 1 and 2 Divided Original Motion with Amendment 1 only	Failed Failed	4	8	1												8	
56	Amendment to Divide Original Motion 1		Passed	12	1	0													
	Amendment to Divide Original Motion 2		Passed	8	4	1										White Exhibit 1 -			
	Divided Original Motion 2	Create a comparable Residential zone that maintains the 5,750 minimum lot size and a minimum 50 foot lot width	Taken up under separate action										General			Page 35 of 48, Items A and B			23.35
		Create comparable R zones in R1 and R2 that maintain the 5750 sf minimum lot size and a minimum 50' lot width. Number of zones to be created is to be determined by staff.		-		1							General			items A and B			23.33
57	Motion 1	Direct staff to map all existing 5750 as the proposed new zone. Create comparable R zones in R1 and R2 that maintain the 5750 sf minimum lot size and a minimum 50' lot width. Number of zones to be created is to be determined by staff.	Divided Passed	7	6	0				1 1									f I I
	Divided Original Motion 2	Direct staff to map all existing 5750 as the proposed new zone.	Failed	2	9	2										White Exhibit 1 - Page 35 of 48,			
	Substitute Motion	Leave all R1B, R1C, and R2C zones as 5,750 sf minimum Revise the purpose statement in Section 23-4D-2010 to:	Failed	3	8	2							General			Item B			23.37 23.35
58		This division establishes the land use and building form requirements for property zoned residential house-scale. The requirements are intended to implement the Comprehensive Plan and address the social and environmental values described in 23-1A-1020, are intended to ensure that proposed development is compatible with existing and future development on neighboring properties. Additionally, the requirements are intended to produce an environment of desirable character, consistent with the	_			ı													23.159 23.160 23.185 23.191
	Original Motion	Comprehensive Plan and any applicable area plan.	Failed	6	7	0							Specific	23-4D-2010					23.38 23.207
59	Original Motion Original Motion	Allow a three units, attached or detached, as a residential use in the R3 zones. Exact definition and alterations to Use Tables to be determined by staff. Remove Single-Family Attached as an allowed use in the R2A, R2B, R2C, R3A, and R3B zones	Passed Divided	10	3	0							General	23-4D-2100					23.43
60	Divided Original Motion 1	Remove Single-Family Attached as an allowed use in the R2A, R2B, and R2C zones	Failed	6 N/A	N/A									23-4D-2110 23-4D-2120					
	Divided Original Motion 2	Remove Single-Family Attached as an allowed use in the R3A and R3B zones Add clarifying/ symbolic language to the Use Tables regarding the allowance and permitted timeframes	Failed	2	8	3							Specific	23-4D-2150 23-4D-2160					23.76 23.44 23.81
61	Original Motion	of STRs Add a "Small Lot Single Family Use" as a permitted use in R2C, R2D, and R2E with the following development standards:	Passed	12	1	0							General						23.46
		min. lot size: 2500 sf. max lot size: 4999sf min. lot width: 36' Building Size (max) for all Small Lot uses: the greater of .4 FAR or 1500 sf Building Placement add Small Lot Setbacks: Front 15', Side St. 10', Side 3.5', Rear 10'. Building Form (1) Building Articulation New Construction add "Building Articulation is not required for Small Lot uses."																	
62	Original Motion	Impervious Cover add "(2) Small Lot Impervious Cover 65% max, 55% building cover max* Add a "Small Lot Single-Family Use" as a permitted use in R2D and R2E with the following development standards. R2C remains the same.	-		-					, ,									
		min. lot size: 2500 sf. max lot size: 4999sf min. lot width: 36' Building Size (max) for all Small Lot uses: the greater of .4 FAR or 1500 sf Building Placement add Small Lot Setbacks: Front 15', Side St. 10', Side 3.5', Rear 10'. Building Form (1) Building Articulation New Construction add "Building Articulation is not required for Small Lot uses."												23-4D-2130					
-	Substitute Motion	Impervious Cover add "(2) Small Lot Impervious Cover 65% max, 55% building cover max"	Passed	9	4	0							Specific	23-4D-2140					23.47
63	Original Motion	In all R Zones, set the required lot size for an ADU to the minimum lot size for a single-family use. Retain all affordability requirements Add a new zone to the Residential zones which has the same development standards as R1C, but does	Passed	11	1	1							General						23.66 23.62 23.80
64	Original Motion	not permit an ADU	Failed	2	11	0							General						23.70
65	Original Motion	In the Parking Tables in all zones, add clarifying notes to the term "Other Allowed Uses" that reference back to the Permitted Use Tables	Passed	12	0	1							General						23.72
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		

5/29/2018

								-/			·uut		vob.	011100	ity Council						
					Vote Tallies	i 			Vote by	Commissio	oner									Н	
												z	ш								
							RSOI		SAW	R SLER	e: _	1PSO								Original Planning	_
		Matter	D	A	Ness	Abstains	NDE	AZI ENN	JE J	LIVE	EGE	휘탈	¥	General or Specific	Castian Number	Annotated PC	Related Exhibit	Daniel Taula	Chaff Dannage	Commission Motion	Commission
	I	Motion Add a "Small Lot Single-Family Use" as a permitted use in R3 zones, R4 zones, RM1A, and RM1B to	Passed/ Failed	Ayes	Noes	Abstains	S	1 7 Z	2 Z	0 %	IS IS	กิ F ≥	S	Specific	Section Number	Motion Page No.	Related Exhibit	вгоац торіс	Staff Response	Iviotion	Motions
		allow small houses on small lots without requiring them to be attached											ш								
		min. lot size: 2500 sf. max lot size: 4999sf											ш								
		min. lot width: 25'																			
		Building Size (max) for all Small Lot uses: the greater of .4 FAR or 1500 sf Building Placement add Small Lot Setbacks: Front 15', Side St. 10', Side 3.5' or 0 when adjacent to Small																			
		Lot Uses, Rear 10'.																			
		Building Form (1) Building Articulation New Construction add "Building Articulation is not required for Small Lot uses."																			
	Original Motion	Impervious Cover add "(2) Small Lot Impervious Cover 65% max, 55% building cover max	-		-	-	I I . I									
66	Substitute Motion 1	Rescind the related motion for a "Small Lot Single-Family Use" in the R2D and R2E Make one new zone (staff to determine which zoning base [R, RM, etc.]) for the Small Lot Single-Family	Failed	3	7	2															
00		Use with the following development standards:																			
		min. lot size: 2500 sf.																			
		max lot size: 4999sf min. lot width: 25'											ш								
		Building Size (max) for all Small Lot uses: the greater of .4 FAR or 1500 sf																			
		Building Placement add Small Lot Setbacks: Front 15', Side St. 10', Side 3.5' or 0 when adjacent to Small Lot Uses, Rear 10'.																			
		Building Form (1) Building Articulation New Construction add "Building Articulation is not required for																			
		Small Lot uses." Impervious Cover add "(2) Small Lot Impervious Cover 65% max, 55% building cover max																			
	0 1 111 1 11 11					_														25.77]
-	Substitute Motion 2	Staff to prepare a new zone that only permits the single use. Add/ amend the below definitions and place in correct location of the Code:	Passed	7	6	0			+					General			+			23.78	23.114
		Attached: When used with reference to two or more buildings units, means having one or more																			
		common walls or being joined by a roof, covered perch or covered passageway measured 20 feet in depth, perpendicular to the front property line																			
		Detached: Fully separated from any other building, or joined to another building by structural members																			
67		not constituting an enclose or covered space Staff to analyze intent of above language and recommend a definition that encompasses the intent of a							ent												
01	Original Motion	clear definable difference	Passed	8	4	0			Abs												
	Amendment to								ant												
	Original Motion 1	Add the covered porch or covered passageway back to the definition of attached	Passed	9	2	1			Abs												
	Amendment to Original Motion 2	Strike the 20 feet in depth language	Withdrawn											Specific			White Item 1 - Page 41 of 48			23.82	
	Original Wotlon 2	Chine the 20 lest in depth language	Withdiawii						= '					Ореспіс			1 age 41 01 40			25.02	
68	Original Motion	Add language to applicable zones regarding sideyard setbacks exemptions for Small Lot Single Family Attached, Single Family Attached, and Townhouse	Passed	11	0	1			pser					General						23.87	.
	Original Wotton		rasseu	"	0	'			t z					General						23.61	
69	Original Motion	Add a bonus of "+150sf for each three bedroom unit within 500' of public school " for Single-Family and Duplex uses in R2-R4 zones where McMansion applies	Passed	8	1	3			Abse												
00	Amendment to												П							I	
-	Original Motion	Remove the word "public"	Failed	5	8	0			7					General						23.89	4
	Original Mation	Add a bonus of +0.1 FAR for every unit above Single Family Use in all R3 zones	Foiled	,	0	0			pser												
70	Original Motion Amendment to	Apply bonus only outside 1/4 mile of an Imagine Austin Corridor; all votes regarding FAR would remain	Failed	3	9	U			_ <						23-4D-2150				H		
	Original Motion 1 Amendment to	intact	Failed	2	10	0	<u> </u>	+				\bot	+		23-4D-2160 23-4D-2170				H	H	
	Original Motion 2	Apply the bonus of 0.1, but with a maximum of 0.3 bonus FAR per lot	Failed	4	8	0				Ш				Specific	23-4D-2170 23-4D-2180					23.90	23.117
	Original Motion	Add a bonus of +0.1 FAR for every unit above Single Family Use in all R4 zones	-	-	-	-															
71	0 1 17 1 11 11	Staff to find a way to alter the development standards to make R4 more feasible and recommend those				_			Sent												
72	Substitute Motion Original Motion	changes to Council, particularly impervious cover Amend the height of all accessory structures to 15 feet instead of 12 feet, as applicable	Passed Passed	7	5	0			A A					Specific General	23-4D-219023-4D-22002		+			23.101 23.112	23.10023.120
12	_ igna motor	grit of all decessory structures to 10 foot instead of 12 foot; as applicable	30000	13	Ů	Ī							П	30	23-4D-2190		1			20.112	
73	Original Motion	Change all R4 minimum lot widths from 60 feet to 80 feet	Failed	6	7	1								Specific	23-4D-2200 23-4D-2210					23.121	
	- Janear Motion	Increase the base standard units of Cottage Court in the R4 zones from		ľ	1	<u> </u>							\top	7,555	23-4D-2190		1			25.121	
74	Original Motion	3 to 4 units 6 to 8 units	Passed	11	0	1								Specific	23-4D-2200 23-4D-2210					23.123	
75	Original Motion	For RM1A and RM1B, change the minimum lot size to 5,750 sf and the minimum width to 50 feet	Failed	3	10	0							\Box	·	23-4D-3050						
<u> </u>	Substitute Motion	For RM1A and RM1B, change the minimum lot size to 3,800 sf Create a new zone (RM1C) which has the same uses as R2C, but with a permitted density of 14 units per	Failed	3	10	0								Specific	23-4D-3060	1	1			23.130	1
		acre maximum.																			
		0.4 FAR limit for the site R2C height limits, building form (mcmansion) and setback tables,																			
		1 space per unit with additional proposed parking matrix reductions,																			
76	Original Motion	Add Note to Table A: minimum 10' separation between buildings. No compatibility setbacks. No multi-unit buildings	Passed	12	1	0															
	Amendment to			40		_								1							
	Original Motion 1 Amendment to	Staff to review proposed zone to ensure it does not have a negative impact on Density Bonus program	Passed	13	0	0													H	H	
-	Original Motion 2	New zone shall not be used within transition zones Eliminate Dwelling Unit per Acre requirements in all multi-unit zones	Failed	6	6	1								Specific	New		1	_		23.134	
77	Original Motion Substitute Motion	Increase units per acre by 20% for base and bonus units and always round the numbers up	- Passed	- 8	- 5	- 0								General					H	23.135	23.126
		, and an array of court and array of court and array of court arra													1						

5/29/2018

					Co	aene	XI K	ecomi	nena	ation	ı Kep	port to Cit	y Council					
				Vote Tallie	S			Vote by Con	nmissioner									
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Ayes Noe:	s Abstains	ANDERSON	KAZI KENNY	MCGRAW NUCKOLS	SCHISSLER	SHIEH THOMPSON	WHITE	General or Specific	Section Number	Annotated PC Motion Page No.	Related Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Original Planning Commission Motion Original Planning Commission Motions
78	Original Motion	Add Parking Facility as a permitted use with a CUP in RM2 zones and greater when adjacent to a Main Street or Mixed Use zone with the following design requirements to be stated in Specific to Use: (A) Screening: All areas used for parking, storage, waste receptacles or mechanical equipment shall be screened from a triggering property. Such screening may be a fence, berm or vegetation and shall be maintained by the property owner. Fences shall not exceed six feet in height. (B) Lighting: Exterior lighting shall be hooded or shielded so that it is not visible from a triggering property. (C) Noise: The noise level of mechanical equipment shall not exceed 70 db at the property line of a triggering property. (D) Waste: Waste receptacles, including dumpsters, shall not be located within 50 feet of a triggering property. The City shall review and approve the location of and access to each waste receptacle. Collection of such receptacles shall be prohibited between 10pm and 7am. (E) From a parking structure facing and located within 100 feet of a triggering property: (1) Vehicle headlights shall not be directly visible, and shall be shielded from view (2) Parked vehicles shall be screened from the view of a triggering property. (F) No vehicle entrances or exits from parking accessible to a MS or MU property may be located within 100 feet of a triggering property.	Passed	8 5	5 0							General						23.139
79	Original Motion	is parking In the RM1A Zone:	Passed	13 (0 0							Specific	23-4D-3050					23.140
80	Original Motion	Option 1: Eliminate compatibility setback, consider changing landscape buffer to semi-opaque. Option 2: 1. Eliminate additional setback if Intermittent Visual Obstruction Buffer (20 ft) is kept 2. Reduce landscape buffer height to 23-4E-4100 (Semi Opaque Buffer, 6 ft) and reduce setback to 15 feet on side and rear 3. Eliminate additional setbacks and just have Semi-Opaque Buffer 4. Change which residential house scale zones trigger compatibility - ie R4A & R4B with MF allowed should not trigger compatibility for other MF For RM1A and RM1B the following development standards be altered: McMansion tent (as McMansion is applied in Draft 3) apply Within 30 feet from a rear triggering property, height be limited to 2 stories Eliminate landscape buffer and articulation	-		-	ent -			ent -				23-4D-3050					
	Substitute Motion	Side setback of 10 feet, as opposed to the 5 that is currently required in Draft 3	Passed	10 (0 1	Abs			Abs			Specific	23-4D-3060					23.143
81	Original Motion	Staff to review setback, landscape buffer, and stepback and eliminate one from the requirements	Fails	6 7	7 0							General						23.151 23.152 23.150 23.153
82	Original Motion	Increase the height maximums in Main Street zones as follows: MS1A, MS1B: 35' to 40' MS2A, MS2B, MS2C: 45' to 65' MS3A, MS3B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus Increase the height maximums in Mixed Use zones as follows: MU1A, MU1B: 32' to 40' MU1C, MU1D, MU2A: 45' to 65' MU2B, MU3A, MU3B: 60' to 80' MU4A, MU4B: 60' to 80', 120' with AHBP Bonus MU5A: 100'	Not Acted On															
	Original Woton	Change the bonus heights to those listed in the Original Motion Keep the base heights as D3 for all zones except:	Not Acted Off		-	' '					 	1			- 1			
	Amendment to Original Motion	MS1A, MS1B: 35' to 40' MU1A, MU1B: 32' to 40'	Passed	8 4	4 0							General			Kazi Exhibit - MU/ MS Heights			23.156 23.178
83	Original Motion	Allow Senior Housing with less than 12 residents as a permitted use in all MU1 zones Allow Senior Housing with greater than 12 residents as a MUP in MU1 zones	Passed	12 (0 0			Absent				Specific	23-4D-4030		White Exhibit 1 - Page 7 of 48			23.162
84	Original Motion	Allow the following uses as a permitted use in all MU and MS zones except MU1A and MU1B: Residential Care Facilities, Senior/Retirement Housing, Work/Live, Library, Museum, or Public Art Gallery, Meeting Facility, Mobile Food Sales, General Retail Under 5,000 SF, Performance Venue/Theater, Live Music, Indoor Recreation (all sizes), Cooperative Housing, Group Residential, Manufactured Home. and all sizes of Davcares	Passed	10 1	1 1			Absent				General			White Exhibit 1 - Page 7 and 8 of 48			23.164 23.183
85	Original Motion	For MS1A, MS1B, MU1A, and MU1B the following development standards be altered: Within 30 feet from a rear triggering property, height be limited to 1 stories No parking deck on top No deck or patio for alcohol or food Eliminate articulation (landscape buffer is still required) Side setback of 10 feet McMansion tent (as McMansion is applied in Draft 3) apply	Passed	12 (0 1							Specific	23-4D-4060 23-4D-4070 23-4D-5060 23-4D-5070					23.174 23.199
86	Original Motion Amendment to	Change all front yard setbacks from 5 feet to 0 feet in commercial zones (RM3A and up)	Passed	13 (0 0							1			7			23.182 23.162
87	Original Motion Original Motion	Start at RM4A, not RM3A Require a CUP for all Adult Entertainment in all zones	Passed Passed	13 (13 (0 0							General General						23.177 23.215 23.208

						0112711					topo	ort to City	- Courton					
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Vote Tallie	s Abstains	ANDERSON HART KAZI	KENNY MCGRAW	NUCKOLS OLIVER	SCHISSLER SEEGER SHIEH	THOMPSON	SHAW	General or Specific		Annotated PC Motion Page No. F	Related Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Original Planning Related Planning Commission Commission Motion Motions
	Driginal Motion	Change CC40, CC60, CC80 FAR max to 5:1, and increase heights Replace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC60 with CC90. eplace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC60 with CC75 (75' overall max height); Replace CC80 with CC90 (90' overall max height); Replace CC80 with CC90 (90' overall max height); Remove all minimum setbacks for all CC zones, and clarify reference to easements. Revise CC zones to increase heights & FAR. Allow exceptions for small sites downtown such as: Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross frontage requirement or change requirement to "net" frontage or only require one block face of the site to comply. Or remove requirement in CC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which uses are appropriate. And reduce requirements for many building support spaces (AE vault, fire pump, etc.) that must be located directly on ROW. Table G: For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width; Except for building support spaces (including as Austin Energy vault, fire pump), entries must be oriented to the street and located at sidewalk level. No ramps or stairs allowed within public right- of-way or front setback For commercial buildings less than one-half block width; The primary entry must be oriented to the street and located at the sidewalk level.	-															23.215 23.216 23.217 23.220 23.221 23.222 A-23.206.1 A-23.206.2 A-23.206.3
	Divided Original	Increase heights in the CC zone to the following heights: Replace CC40 with CC50; Replace CC60 with CC75; Replace CC80 with CC90. eplace CC40 with CC50 (50' overall max height); Replace CC60 with CC75 (75' overall max height);						ent										23.216 23.217
88 _	Motion 1 Divided Original Motion 2	Replace CC80 with CC90 (90' overall max height) Change CC40, CC60, CC80 FAR max to 5:1 Remove all minimum setbacks for all CC zones, and clarify reference to easements. Revise CC zones to increase heights & FAR. Allow exceptions for small sites downtown such as: Create exception for <1/2 block sites. Either significantly reduce the % gross frontage requirement or change requirement to "net" frontage or only require one block face of the site to comply. Or remove requirement in CC base zone and allow for a district planning process to dictate which streets and which uses are appropriate. And reduce requirements for many building support spaces (AE vault, fire pump, etc.) that must be located directly on ROW. Table G: For commercial buildings greater than or equal to one-half block width: Except for building support spaces (including as Austin Energy vault, fire pump), entries must be oriented to the street and located at sidewalk level. No ramps or stairs allowed within public right- of-way or front setback For commercial buildings less than one-half block width: The primary entry must be oriented to the street and located at the sidewalk level.	Passed Passed	7 3	3 1			Absent Abs										A-23.206.3 23.21323.21523.2
	mendment to Divided	Set setbacks to 0 feet unless stated otherwise in 23-4D-9070	Passed	11 0	1													
	Substitute Motion 1	Zone Downtown Plan and Judge's Hill to F25 Require a CUP for all Bars' Nightclubs (Level 2 only) within 200 feet of a Residential zone rather than permitting by-right. Beyond 200 feet remains permitted by-right.	Failed	4 8	0													23.206
89	Substitute Motion 2 Driginal Motion	Add specific language in Specific to Use section for Bars and Nightclubs As stated in Kenny Exhibit 2 - ADU Bonus Amendments: Apply Changes to the Citywide Density Bonus Program Create a Corridor Density Bonus Program Create an NHCD Review after the implementation of the bonuses Alter the ADU and R-scale compatibility restrictions Additional provisions not stated in Kenny Exhibit 2 NHCD review will be 18 months after implementation LL and RR zones will have a by-right ADU and it will no longer have an affordability requirement Within 1/8 of a mile of any school, the Corridor ADU Bonus will apply	Failed Passed	6 3	3 1 4 0							Specific	23-4D-6060					23.213
90	Original Motion Substitute Motion	Add the following language to Section 23-4D-8080 (E) Regardless of the requirements of the former chapter 25 (including NCCDs and F25 zones): (1) one ADU that meets the base zoning requirements of R2 is allowed per residential lot that that meets the standards of R2 or greater, including but not limited to, placement, height, impervious cover, FAR, and setbacks. (2) the minimum lot size is equal to the minimum lot size required for Single-Family: and. (3) Parking requirements are determined by the roughly equivalent requirements from this Title, as determined by the Director. (4) The Director of Neighborhood Housing must determine if a roughly equivalent zone has an AHBP that should apply to an F25 zoned property. Do not make any changes to F25 other than those changes already voted on	Failed Failed	6 4	4 2 7 0			Absent				Specific	23-4D-8080					23.247
	Driginal Motion	In Section 23-4D-8110(F) insert and renumber: (F)(8) exceed the minimum landscaping requirements of the City Code. In Section 23-4D-8110(G)(2), Delete: Uses green water quality controls as described in the Environmental Criteria Manual to treat at least 50 percent of the water quality volume required by this Title. In Section 23-4D-8110(G)(2)(m) Revise: (m) Preserves all heritage trees; preserves 75 percent of the caliper inches associated with native protected size trees; and preserves 75 percent of all of the native caliper inches.			-								10 0000					23.250 23.251 23.252
	Divided Original Notion 1	In Section 23-4D-8110(F) insert and renumber: (F)(8) exceed the minimum landscaping requirements of the City Code. In Section 23-4D-8110(G)(2)(c) Delete: Uses green water quality controls as described in the Environmental Criteria Manual to treat at least 50 percent of the water quality volume required by this Title.	Passed	12 (0 0			Absent										23.250 23.251
	Divided Original Motion 2	In Section 23-4D-8110(G)(2)(m) Revise: (m) Preserves all heritage trees; preserves 75 percent of the- ealiper inches associated with native protected size trees; and preserves 75 percent of all of the native caliper inches.	-		_													
	Substitute to Divided Original Motion 2	Direct Staff to find ways to differentiate Tier 1-T3 defining Tree superiority.	Passed	7 5	5 0												1	

5/29/2018

					CO	UENL	.X I IV	s comm	llellua	ation	Keh	ort to Cit	y Council					
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Vote Tallie:		ANDERSON	KAZI	MCGRAW NUCKOLS Ag atoA	SCHISSLER SEEGER	SHIEH THOMPSON	WHITE	General or Specific	Section Number	Annotated PC Motion Page No.	Related Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Original Planning Related Planning Commission Commission Motions
	Amendment to Divide Original Motion 2	d Direct staff to find a way to require superior standards for Tier 1 and Tier 2 PUDs apart from standard code	Passed	7 5	5 0			Absent				Specific	23-4D-8110					23.250 23.252
92	Original Motion	If CodeNEXT is in conflict with the existing Neighborhood Plan, the Neighborhood Plan takes precedent	Failed	4 4	4	ı I						General						23.266
93	Original Motion	Staff to work with the University of Texas, UT student body, and the seven neighborhoods who originally crafted UNO and the Central Austin Neighborhood Plan for opportunities for housing around UT, and consider adding height within Uno and extending the boundary of UNO	Passed	11 1	0							General						23.269
94	Original Motion	Where appropriate, add a note detailing that other state or local laws may prohibit alcohol within certain distances, and clarify where to find those specific alcohol distances	Passed	12 0) 1							General						
95	Original Motion	Where applicable, amend the language to allow engaged porches to only be open on one side, instead of requiring two sides as is currently written	Passed	11 0	0							General						A-24.5.1
	Original Motion	Eliminate all parking minimums	Passed	9 3	3 0			Absent										23.49 23.50 23.52 23.53 23.54
96	Amendment to Original Motion 1	Direct staff to get as close to no parking requirements as possible while balancing ADA accessibility, and finding ways for neighborhoods to use residential parking and metered parking as a solution, RPP, and parking benefit districts. Excludes the areas that have already been voted on to have no parking requirements. Methods to be vetted through the Fire Department and Public Safety.	Passed	8 4	1 0	0												23.54 23.55 23.56 23.57 23.58 23.59 23.60 23.61 23.127
90	Amendment to Original Motion 2	Incorporate Vision Zero and Transportation Safety Improvement Program into consideration	Passed	11 1	0													23.148 23.149 23.165 23.190 23.192 23.234 24.9 24.11
	Substitute Motion	Purse further parking reductions, but staff to bring back their research for the Planning Commission to review. Factors to review: meters in front of all commercial properties, studies for how exemptions that reduce parking are working, review the Planning Commission Residential Working Group Recommendations, flag lots, RPPs, and address the AIC Direct Staff to find a solution to preserve parking at specific sites near schools, of any type or district,	Failed	3 8	3 1							General				Parking		24.12 24.13 24.14 14.15 24.16 24.8
97	Original Motion	where parking is an identified problem, utilizing school permit parking systems or other street parking restrictions. Staff to take pedestrian and bicycle safety into consideration.	Passed									General				Parking		24.23
98	Original Motion	In Section 23-4E-4020(A)(1)(c), add the language "and other residential house scale buildings." In Section 23-4E-4040(B), revise the language as follows: B. This section applies to commercial or non-house scale multi-family development that is located adjacent to a public right of way. In Section 23-4E-4050(C), revise to say "commercial zones." In Section 23-4E-4040, Exempt CC and DC (and any other urban zones) from this section as written (and it is recommended that CC does not require any minimum setback). In Section 23-4E-4040 Table A, reduce Front Yard Landscaping to 25% In Section 23-4E-4050, remove Foundation Buffer because some areas should not have landscaping next to the slabs. Soils engineers are against this on larger buildings. In Section 23-4E-4060(D), revise language to require an island every 10 spaces instead of 8 spaces In Section 23-4E-4060(F)(2), revise language to require a 9 foot landscape island instead of the 10 foot Direct Staff to take into consideration the results of the June 5th ASLA analysis of the Code, and ASLA's recommendation to move all landscape requirements to the Environmental Criteria Manual.		8 2	1							Specific	23-4E-4			Landscape		A-24.26.1 24.27 24.28 24.29 24.30 A-24.30.1 24.31 24.32 A-24.26.1 24.33
99	Original Motion	In all zones, require that all Townhouses and Live/Work units have at least one block face to be permitted	Failed	4 8	3 0			ybsent				General						24.45
100	Original Motion	Eliminate Short Term Rental as a permitted use	Failed	1 9	1							General						24.53
	Original Motion Substitute Motion 1	Permit 6 unrelated occupants per dwelling unit, and direct staff to allow more where appropriate Uphold the occupancy limits of 4 in McMansion and 6 outside of McMansion as directed by Council in 2016.	Failed	4 9	0							1						4
101		Throughout the City (regardless of McMansion), set occupancy at the following standards: Single Family: 6 Duplex: 3 + 3 Single Family + ADU: 6 + 2 Duplex + ADU: 3 + 3 + 2 ADU alone: 2																
	Substitute Motion 2	Direct Staff to recommend more where appropriate Recommend approval of Chapter 23-5, 23-7, 23-8, 23-9, 23-10, 23-12, and 23-13 with amendments	Passed	10 2	2 1		+++	+		+		General						24.73
102	Original Motion	previously approved In Chapter 23-13: Definitions and Measurements, revise the definition of Microbrewery from 15,000	Passed	9 1	1							\dagger						
	Amendment to Original Motion	barrels to 5,000 barrels, and review Draft 3 for any terms that have been left undefined, using motions from Planning Commission CodeNEXT Draft 3 Deliberation Spreadsheet as guidance	Passed	8 2	2 0							General	22.50.2040					N/A
103		Require a variance for all Flag Lots as is required in Title 25 Recommend approval of Chapter 23-6 with amendments previously approved and the following additional changes: 1. Direct Staff to revisit Site Plan Lite and establish a process not to exceed 2 months that is	Passed	1 4	1				sent	osent		Specific	23-5C-2040					A-27.8.1
105	Original Motion Original Motion	administered by DAC with Watershed Protection review. Add (intent) language for the chapter in general that the goal of the transportation chapter is to take steps to reduce carbon pollution caused by vehicles as part of our commitment to the Paris Climate Accord, and we must work as a community to come up with solutions to our dependency on Single Occupancy Vehicles. Direct staff to look at vehicle miles traveled rather than level of service in terms of mitigation	Passed	5 5	3 0 5 1				A A	Ak		General						44.4 44.5

5/29/2018

						UENL	VI V	ecomi	nend	ialio	ıı vet	ort to Cit	y Courien					
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Vote Tallies Ayes Noes		ANDERSON	KAZI	MCGRAW NUCKOLS OINTED	SCHISSLER SCHISSLER SFEGER	SHIEH	THOMPSON WHITE SHAW	General or Specific	Section Number	Annotated PC Motion Page No.	Related Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Original Planning Related Planning Commission Commission Motion Motions
106	Original Motion	Recommend approval of Chapter 23-11 with amendments previously approved and the following additional changes: 1. Technical Criteria Manuals go through a public process that are ultimately discussed at Planning Commission and possibly Council	Passed	11 0	0				Absent	Absent		General						N/A
107	Original Motion	Add Accessory Apartment as a permitted use in all R zones as shown in Sheih Exhibit 2 - Accessory Apartment Revise the definition of Residential Gross Floor Area (GFA) to reduce the number of exemptions as	Passed	8 3	1	ш		Absent				General						A-57.22.1 A-57.22.2
108	Original Motion	follows: RESIDENTIAL GROSS (GFA) The total enclosed area of all floors in a building with a clear height of more than five feet, measured to the outside surface of the exterior walls. The term excludes leading-decke, 1st floor porches, stoops, basements, attics, stories below grade plane, parking facilities, driveways, and enclosed loading borths and off-street maneuvering areas. In exchange, in all Residential Zones, allow for an increase of 0.05 FAR	Passed	8 5	i 0													
	Amendment to Original Motion 1	Remove the change in FAR GFA excludes loading docks, 1st floor porches, stoops, basements, attics, stories below grade plane, parking facilities up to 450 sf, driveways, and enclosed loading berths and off-street maneuvering-areas.	Failed	5 7	<u>'</u> 1							Specific	Chapter 23-13		See White Exhibit 1 - Page 36 of 48			57.4
	Original Motion	Map Imagine Austin Corridors as follows: 1) All commercial lots will be zoned as MS with the following rules: lots under 140 sq ft. deep zoned as MS2B, and lots between 140-220 sq ft. deep zoned as MS3B. Map Imagine Austin Corridors in gentifying areas as follows: 2) All D3 R-zoned lots immediately adjacent to the (1) above MS lots AND have part of their lot within 1/8 mile of an IA corridor are rezoned as RM1C. 3) All D3 R-zoned lots that have part of their lot within 1/4 mile of an IA corridor are rezoned as R2C. Gentrifying areas defined by Kenny Exhibit 1 - Easter Crescent Gentrification Protection Zone (Page 28 of 29).	Divided		-													
109	Divided Original Motion 1	Map Imagine Austin Corridors as follows: 1) All commercial lots will be zoned as MS with the following rules: lots under 140 sq ft. deep zoned as MS2B, and lots between 140-220 sq ft. deep zoned as MS3B.	Passed	13 0	0							1						
	Amendment to Divide Original Motion 1	Revise the Impervious Cover in MS2B to 90%, and MS3B to 95%	Passed	13 0	0							 						
	Divided Original Motion 2	Map Imagine Austin Corridors in gentrifying areas as follows: 2) All D3 R-zoned lots immediately adjacent to the (1) above MS lots AND have part of their lot within 1/8 mile of an IA corridor are rezoned as RM1C. 3) All D3 R-zoned lots that have part of their lot within 1/4 mile of an IA corridor are rezoned as R2C. Gentrifying areas defined by Kenny Exhibit 1 - Easter Crescent Gentrification Protection Zone (Page 28 of 29).	Never taken up		_							General			Kenny Exhibit 1 - Eastern Crescent Gentrification Protection Zone (Page 28 of 29)			Mapping Item 1
110		Map Corridor Transitions per modified Kazi Corridor Transitions Directive, as voted on by Planning													Kazi Corridor Transitions Directive - Original and Modified per actions of Planning			
	Final Motion Original Motion	Commission Remove compatibility from CC zone Retain current compatibility in the western Judges Hill area per DAP, but with a 270 foot triggering	Passed -	12 1	-							General			Commission			Mapping Item 8
111	Substitute Motion 1	distance Direct staff to remove the compatibility impacts to CC zoning in the Downtown area, particularly related to the two parcels zoned R2C-H near Judge's Hill and the property on the southern corner of 15th street	Failed	5 6	. 2							0						20.5 23.205 23.223 Mapping Item 11 23.225
	Substitute Motion 2 Original Motion	Map Imagine Austin Regional Centers as UC-Unlimited, unless affected by compatibility. If affected by compatability, zone to the highest attainable UC per the limit of the affecting compatibility	Passed	13 0	0							General						23.200
112	Amendment to Original Motion 1	Direct staff to look at current projected yield of affordable units for the Regional Centers and ensure that the anticipated yield is not being diminished by the effect of the prescribed zoning Establish a program for Regional Center that uses opt-in methods similar to UNO, requiring certain	Passed	13 0	0							1						Mapping Items: 46 47
	Amendment to Original Motion 2	development features, such as streetscaping, large-site connectivity, and mobility in order to get maximum heights. Map the areas adjacent to core transit corridors, future core transit corridors, and Imagine Austin	Passed	13 0	0							General						Mapping Item 12 53
	Original Motion	corridors using the new zoning tools in CodeNEXT such that compatibility is not triggered on at least 90% of the properties along these corridors Exempt TODs from compatibility entirely	Divided		-				4		<u>. . .</u>							
	Divided Original Motion 1	Map the areas adjacent to Imagine Austin corridors using the new zoning tools in CodeNEXT such that compatibility is not triggered on at least 90% of the properties along these corridors Map the areas adjacent to core transit corridors and future core transit corridors using the new zoning	Passed	9 4	0							\blacksquare						
	Divided Original Motion 2 Amendment 1 to	tools in CodeNEXT such that compatibility is not triggered on at least 90% of the properties along these corridors Revise the language to set a goal of 90% while also taking into account lot size, localized flooding, whether interest the compatibility access to corridor, and contrib	Passed	8 5	0							-						
113	Amendment 2 to	existing infrastructure capabilities, connectivity/ access to corridor, and gentrification in applying the zones See Kenny Exhibit 1 - Eastern Crescent Gentrification Protection Zone (Page 28 of 29) For the areas identified in the Eastern Crescent Gentrification Protection Zone, establish a new zone of	Passed	10 3	0							\blacksquare						
	Divided Original Motion 1 AND 2 Divided Original Motion 3	RM1C that features the base zoning of R2C with a 15 foot front setback, and the bonus entitlements of RM2A. This would be the default zone for behind corridors in the related map. Exempt TODs from compatibility entirely, by either mapping or text as determined by Staff	Passed Passed	8 2	2							-				-		
	Amendment to Divider Original Motion 3		Passed	10 3	, 0							1						
	Substitute Motion to Divided Original Motion 3	No additional changes to F25	Failed Passed	4 9	0							General						Mapping Item 23.141 57.1 23.129
115	Original Motion Original Motion	Approve Downtown Map with Amendments and make no further motions regarding Downtown Amend Imagine Austin to reclassify South Park Meadows as a Regional Center. Map South Park Meadows as UC.	Passed	11 1 1	0							General						N/A 12

5/29/2018

												•	,	, countries						
					Vote Tallies			Vote b	y Commiss	sioner										
							RSON	Y AW	R S	SER SEEN	IPSON								Original Planning	Related Planning
							DE RT	S GR	I K			≥ G	eneral or		Annotated PC					Commission
		Motion	Passed/ Failed	Ayes	Noes	Abstains	A A A	및 ž ž	길이	SE SE		Sr	pecific	Section Number	Motion Page No.	Related Exhibit	Broad Topic	Staff Response	Motion	Motions
116		Staff to work with AISD to remap AISD properties with most appropriate, non-triggering zoning instead of																		
110	Original Motion	the existing P zoning	Failed	5	5	1						G	eneral						N/A	
117		Staff to establish a 3-year sunset process for F25, including community participation - particularly those areas that have already completed a small area planning process. New zones or subzones may need to be created to accommodate the sunset process. For areas scheduled to undergo a Small Area Plan, F25 will get phased out as part of that review if it has not already been phased out.		12	0	0						G	eneral						N/A	

5/29/2018

KENNY ADU BONUS AMENDMENT

Staff should implement the following, with discretion to make changes consistent with intent:

1. Citywide Affordable ADU Bonus:

If you build an affordable ADU in zones R1-R4:

- a. ADU size is restricted by the established ADU bracketing for that zone;
- b. ADU does not count against unit count or overall FAR calculation;
- c. No configuration limits on ADU attached or detached; and
- d. Total FAR is capped at 0.8 and total unit count is capped at 4.

2. Corridor ADU Bonus:

If you build an affordable ADU and any part of the lot is within ¼ mile of an Imagine Austin corridor in zones R1-R4:

- a. ADU size is restricted by the established ADU bracketing for that zone;
- b. ADU does not count against the unit count or overall FAR calculation;
- c. No configuration limits on ADU attached or detached;
- d. Total FAR is capped at 0.8 and total unit count is capped at 4;
- e. Incentive: A market-rate ADU may also be added that does not count against the unit count or overall FAR calculation, but may be no larger than the affordable ADU;
- f. Incentive: The primary dwelling units receive an FAR bonus equal to the square footage of the affordable ADU;
- g. Incentive: Front setbacks are reduced to 15 ft and height limits are increased to 25 feet at the side and 38 feet overall, but the entire site may not exceed 3 stories.

3. NHCD review:

One year after the implementation of these bonuses, NHCD shall review the program and may make recommendations to Planning Commission to make changes, including to city code, to better implement the intent of the program or to deal with any unintended consequences.

4. General ADU and R-scale backyard compatibility restrictions:

In regulations specific to use for ADUs:

- a. The cap on the second story of an ADU being limited to 550 sq ft. is removed.
- b. (I think we passed this last night): <u>Any</u> building on a residential zone lot whether a single-family or multi-family zone <u>may not exceed two stories</u> for up to the rear 30 feet of the lot (as measured from the lot line of the triggering residential property) when that part of the lot is within 30 feet of the rear of a residential-zoned lot.

ACCESSORY APARTMENT ORDINANCE PROPOSAL

The Accessory Apartment is established to provide for the encouragement and promotion of an environment for family life by providing for the establishment of accessory apartments in one-family detached dwellings on individual lots. The purpose is to provide flexibility for the changes in household size associated with life cycle; to offer financial security for home buyers; to offer security against problems associated with frailty in old age (caregiver, mother-in-law plan); allow age in place; create attainable affordability options; offset gentrification and displacement.

- •Providing housing opportunities to young families, single parents, veterans, seniors, town employees and employees of small local businesses.
- •Providing income to residents, especially those with modest incomes, to remain in their homes.
- •Adding units to the Housing Inventory at little cost.
- •Scattering affordable housing throughout the town.
- •Contributing to the efficient use of land and structures.
- •Adding a unit of housing without increasing a home's footprint, which means less environmental impact.
 - Cost for an ADU can be from 150k to 200k
 - Cost for accessory apartment can be as little as a kitchen remodel
 - Apartment is an accessory use to the principal home and the homeowner (owner occupied)
 - Apartment does not alter the form of a single family home
 - Utilities are shared with the primary structure
 - Internal connection maintained between the apartment and the home (doorway)
 - Accessory apartment permit is required so it may be tracked since it is only available for owner occupied properties
 - Maximum size of apartment is 600 sqft
 - May not construct both ADU and apartment.
 - FAR is counted since it is part of the primary structure

HOUSING BONUS OPPORTUNITY- because this can truly be considered as accessory to the principal structure's use by an owner, it is not a separate dwelling unit. This can pose opportunities to be creative for special affordability bonus considerations. IE: For owner occupied, in zones where ADU's allowed and when using street scale preservation, accessory apartment can still be allowed in the principal structure, however additional parking space is required on site and rent must be at or below XX%MFI.

The accessory apartment may be created

A- inside the home through an internal conversion of the housing unit maintaining an internal connection between living areas; or

B- By an addition to the house, containing an internal connection between the accessory apartment and the principal part of the dwelling unit; provided, that the addition will not alter the one-family character of the building.

Appearance. The accessory apartment shall not alter the appearance of the structure as a one-family residence dwelling. There shall be no external evidence of occupancy by more than one (1) family, such as two (2) front facing doors.

Occupancy. For purposes of a one-family dwelling with an accessory apartment

- A- The dwelling shall be the owner's primary residence
- B- The dwelling must be owner-occupied by
- C- The accessory apartment within the structure may be occupied by no more than two (2) related or unrelated adults, with or without minor children.

Parking. For a one-family dwelling on a single lot, an accessory apartment is not required to have parking. Any additional dwelling units shall require parking.

Utility Meters. A one-family dwelling with an accessory apartment shall share a single water, gas, and electricity utility service, and each meter shall be in the property owner's name.

Addresses. The accessory apartment and the principal part of the dwelling unit may each have its own address.

Outside Entrances. Any new outside entrance to the accessory apartment shall be on the side or rear of the building. Only one (1) front entrance shall be visible from the front yard.

Building Codes. All existing construction and remodeling shall comply with building codes in effect at the time of the original construction or remodeling. Newly constructed accessory apartment shall meet current building codes.

Kitchens. A one-family dwelling with an accessory apartment is permitted two (2) kitchens; one (1) for the principal part of the dwelling and one (1) for the accessory apartment. No other kitchens, wet bars or other food preparation areas are permitted.

Accessory Apartment Permit. Any person constructing or causing the construction of a one-family dwelling that has an accessory apartment or any person remodeling or causing the remodeling of a one-family dwelling for an accessory apartment, or any person desiring an accessory apartment, shall obtain an accessory apartment permit from the Community

Development Department. Such permit shall be in addition to any building permits that may be necessary. Before an accessory apartment permit is issued, the applicant shall: Submit a site plan drawn accurately to scale that shows property lines and dimensions, the location of existing buildings and building entrances, proposed buildings or additions, dimensions from buildings or additions to property lines, the location of parking stalls, and utility meters.

Include detailed floor plans drawn to scale with labels on rooms indicating uses or proposed uses. Floor plans must have the interior connection clearly labeled.

References:

http://www.codepublishing.com/UT/Provo/html/Provo14/Provo144600.html
https://www.lincolntown.org/DocumentCenter/View/27060/Affordable-Accessory-Apartment-Program

KENNY AFFORDABLE HOUSING CHAPTER MOTION

Recommend Approval w/ Changes to Address Commission Concerns

Move to recommend approval of Chapter 23–3E (Affordable Housing Bonus Program), but with direction for staff to develop revisions that will address the following concerns:

- 1. Establish as additional items of intent for the program to
 - a. meet the <u>annual</u> affordable housing goals set forth by city council;
 - b. generally permit sites to utilize affordable bonus entitlements; and
 - c. maximize affordable units in high-opportunity areas, whether built on-site or financed via fee-in-lieu.
- 2. Require any project participating in the program to adopt a restrictive covenant forbidding discrimination solely due to prospective tenants using housing vouchers in any unit in the project, not just the affordable units.
- 3. Require NHCD to recommend affordable housing goals to city council and for <u>city</u> council to annually adopt program goals.
- 4. Require NHCD to issue an annual report to city council measuring progress towards the prior year's goals and <u>recommend changes to any provisions in administrative rules AND</u> city code to better achieve the goals in the following year.
- 5. Require the Planning and Zoning Department Director to perform a calibration study if the program fails to meet annual goals by 10% for two years in a row, and to recommend changes to any provisions in administrative rules AND city code to better achieve the goals in the following year.
- 6. Reinstate <u>expedited planning revie</u>w at all stages for projects that participate in the program.
- 7. Re-calibrate bonus entitlements other than height in the zoning chapter to appropriately maximize the attractiveness of the increases in zone bonus heights made by Planning Commission.
- 8. Requirements for equivalent unit size and bedroom count do not apply to ADU bonuses.
- 9. Review the attached exhibit and consult stakeholders including the Austin Housing Coalition in implementing the intent of this motion.

Zone	In Dr	aft 3	Kazi Am	endment
	Base	Bonus	Base	Bonus
MU1A	32		52	
MU1B	32		52	
MU1C	45		65	
MU1D	45		65	
MU2A	45		65	80
MU2B	60		80	95
МИЗА	60		80	95
MU3B	60		80	95
MU4A	60	75	80	95
MU4B	60	75	80	120
MU5A	80		95	No max
MS1A	35		55	
MS1B	35		55	
MS2A	45		65	
MS2B	45		65	80
MS2C	45		65	80
MS3A	60		80	95
MS3B	60	85	80	120